Advanced ultrasonic blade root examination
Analytical process and Modelling to handle examination on complex geometry
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Abstract
Blade roots are of the most stressed areas of a rotor in service. An in-service examination, whether performed manually or automated, is complex due to the geometries involved. The levels of probability of detection, repeatability, reliability are consequentially affected. The work is thus time consuming and impacts outage durations. This paper describes a way to handle and set this kind of examination on complex geometries. From the scope of examination and associated conditions, through to mathematical software (MapleSoft) and physical modelling tool (CIVA). The entire package (physical and mechanical) is completely pre-designed and evaluated prior to implementation. Advanced UT technologies are used to ensure efficiency, reliability, scanning simplification and shortest possible inspection duration.
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1. Scope of examination and method
The last stage blade root examination (serration 1) of LP turbines is always a critical step in the assessment phase of the maintenance program. It is a difficult task, is time consuming and the reliability of data captured is critical in the process of plant condition assessment.

Fig. 1

Aerofoil intrados and extrados are the only accessible surfaces. First concave and convex serrations are suspected defect areas. Coordinates of defect region are; x:-40..140 mm, z: as per intrados or extrados curves, y: -27.16 first groove

Due to the lack of concentricity between inspection surfaces and suspect defect areas, maintaining optimal corner effect for detection requires continuously changing the shot conditions. Multi mechanical and physical conditions are necessary. The scanning conditions require numerous and complex patterns that increase the risk of errors and complicates the analysis.

To overcome the complex conditions and to increase inspection confidence, the examination conditions are reconstructed through an analytical process that considers; scanning surfaces, curves of defect location and optimal shot conditions (MapleSoft). From these results, the optimal UT sensor locations, optimal UT settings, is achieved by modelling (CIVA), to pre-design a package able to carry out the examination with highest reliability, reducing operating time and providing results for immediate use.
2. Analysis of the examination conditions

2.1 Principles
Different factors influence the conditions of the examination among these are; the shape of defect areas, shape of scanning surfaces, shot conditions required for best detection. To handle these fundamental factors and to accommodate all of them together, an analytical scenario is required to create the required details of the beam conditions. Beam conditions depend primarily on the scan surface relative to the target defect position that involves the tangent, normal, binormal, and incidence on targets. The use of 2D phased array with equipment offering different focal law features is essential. The mathematical formulas for the plotting of involved geometries and the shot positions, are the steps required in the fundamental analysis (MapleSoft). Subsequently the resultant physical conditions are used to build the specification of the scanning package (CIVA).

2.1.1 Digital pattern of scanning surface relative to defect curves
The matrix map is provided by CAD software. Through approximation module equation (3D, 2D) of surfaces, curves are obtained. For the aerofoil surfaces per the shape approximation is done according to quadric equations, last square method. For the defect curves the same approximation is performed.

Intrados and extrados surface and respective extrados and intrados defect curves

**Extrados surface equation:**

\[-0.00553718025671160x^2 - 0.000129365079345257y^2 + 0.411469318496011x - 0.00904761904957697y + 322.809067229500\]

**Intrados curve equation:**

\[-0.00211998301071295x^2 + 0.0103970066680208x + 275.591147874800\]

**z=-27.16**

2.1.2 Shot conditions – optimised probe locations curve
The optimal beam condition to get a response from a defect is by corner effect. The assumption is that of an indication within the horizontal plane at a constant depth \((z=27.16)\) to intersect the plane normal to the tangent plane. The beam vector targets the defect at 45° and includes the plane containing the binormal vector of the tangent and normal vector.

**For 45° incidence the beam vector is the sum of normal and binormal vector**

The intersection curve on surface obtained \((x \text{ parameter})\) by:

\[ (x)e_x + \left( -0.00610651359164915x^2 + 0.660855419603687x + 52.9006313991360 \right)e_y + \left( -0.00540606924018179x^2 \right) \]
3. **Skew effect**

At each point on the optimised probe location, the target point on the defect curve is swept through a range achieved by skewing the beam vector. This is to provide an optimised response beam vector from any probable defect location. The response beam vector is built through symmetry and calculation of the plane containing the response from the optimised probe locations curve.

---

**Skew = 0°**

T Sensor coordinates: x = 0mm, y = 322mm, z = 19mm  
Target point: x = 0mm, y = 275mm, z = -27.16mm  
T Sensor tilt = 0°, pan = 0°, incidence in vertical plane on defect curve = 45° (plane containing the beam vector)

---

**fig. 4. 0° Skew**

---

**Skew = 10°**

T Sensor coordinates: x = 0, y = 322, z = 19  
R Sensor coordinates: x = 31, y = 328, z = 28  
Target point: x = 12mm, y = 275mm, z = -27.16mm  
T Sensor tilt = 0°, pan = 17°  
R Sensor incidence in vertical plane on failure curve = 44°

---

**fig. 5 10° Skew**

---

4. **Outputs from analytical stage**

From the above the main input data required to set the parameters of the examination, are determined. These include: pattern to locate sensor, beam behaviour: refraction, skew, tilt, pan, as well as the possibility to calculate the focal laws, if necessary.

---

**General sketch to build a shot sequence:**

*Skewed T Sensor:* Rose coloured Beam  
*Beam intersecting the surface* on optimised curve in yellow

---

**Fig. 6 Shot Build Graphic**
5. **Sensor location package**

The application below defines the layout of the final profile composition of the sensor belt to be installed along the optimised sensor location curve. It is the result of the optimised sensor location curve relative to the defect curve and when at each point the tangent direction change (±) compensates for any skew.

For application where surface examination is extrados and the defect curve is intrados:

- Plotting and Calculation is a function of scanning surface, defect curve, and resultant optimised sensor curve
  - Below is illustrated the process for extrados sensor optimised curve relative to the intrados defect curve.

  ![Scan Surface Plot](fig. 7) ![Defect Curve Plot](fig. 8) ![Optimised Sensor Curve](fig. 9)

- Origin - location for the first sensor (4x4 elements, 5 MHz, skew +10°/-10°, foot print, 5x5mm)
  - The origin is selected on optimized sensor location curve, at the point corresponding to the horizontal tangent “t”
  - The groove extension covered by the scan with access from aerofoil is -15 to 115 mm.
  - The adjacent extensions of the groove are scanned from the shoulder of the blade.

  ![fig. 10](fig. 10)

In this instance the origin point correspond to 37 mm.
Example for Parameters for the optimized sensor curve:

Coordinates of the point on the indications curve: 44.32407938, -27.16, 271.887016093669
Coordinates of the new sensor: 71.08255220, 29.1987239641721, 323.71468
Angle between normal plane (beam vector) and tangent line: 9.73522667389432
Tilt angle: 0.2489501929
Skew angle at the second point: -10.018171780683
Tilt angle at the second point: 9.73522667389432e-1

Fig. 11

Example of range and T-R sensor positions

Eg. From location 37mm on optimised sensor location curve skew 10° Target on indication curve x= 42mm , r= 272mm, z=-27.16mm
Next sensor location for a skew 10 : x: 67mm ,r: 324mm ,z: 29mm
The skew of next sensor is defined -10°
From this location the same process is applied to get the required coverage

Fig. 12

Coordinates of the point on the indications curve: 44.32407938, -27.16, 271.887016093669
Coordinates of the new sensor: 71.08255220, 29.1987239641721, 323.71468
Angle between normal plane (beam vector) and tangent line: 9.73522667389432
Tilt angle: 0.2489501929
Skew angle at the second point: -10.018171780683
Tilt angle at the second point: 9.73522667389432e-1
The sensor package is defined and summarized below. This package covers the area to be scanned without movement of the sensor. The array belt consists of 7 groups of 16 elements (112elts) that allows for a maximal coverage using skew -10° to +10°. The groups are positioned in belt along the optimised sensor location curve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>data</th>
<th>T-2T-1</th>
<th>T-1T0</th>
<th>T0T1</th>
<th>T1T2</th>
<th>T2T3</th>
<th>T3T4</th>
<th>T4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skew range °</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xp mm</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rp mm</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zp mm</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>skew°</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tpr°</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-9</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xi mm</td>
<td>-17</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ri mm</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>zi mm</td>
<td>-27.16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table a

This defined package utilises the potential capabilities of 2D arrays and enables the scanning to meet the inspection objectives.

The ultrasonic Modelling next defines the conditions of the beam sweep required to detect the flaws (type of focal laws)

3 Ultrasonic Modelling

The pre designed array belt for the extrados scan surface contains 7 arrays, though due to curvature only 6 arrays (maximum) can be adequately seated on the surface of the aerofoil. Scanning from the flat shoulder of the blade is done with existing conventional methods. The belt for the intrados scan surface has 5 arrays inserted (80elts) coverage (-15 mm/90mm)

T-2(-21 mm)1

Multi focusing points
Coverage :-25mm -15 mm
dB range: 9dB
t: 36µs – 37µs

Fig. 13

T-2 T-1(-21mm-4mm)

Seq: 1 1T depth-direction scanning / 2R multipoint
Seq 2 2T depth-direction scanning / 1R multipoint
Coverage :-17 mm-8mm
dB range= -10dB
Fig. 14 

T-1(4mm)2

Multi focusing points
Coverage: -10 mm 10 mm
dB range: 7
t: 43µs – 44µs

Fig. 15

T-1 T-0(4mm-37mm)

Multi focusing points
Coverage: 10 mm 30 mm
dB range: 10dB
t: 47µs – 48µs

Fig. 16

T0 (37mm)3

Multi focusing points
Coverage: 15 mm 30 mm
dB range: 7dB
t: 43µs – 44µs

Fig. 17

T0 T1 (37mm 71mm)

Multi focusing points
Coverage: 30 mm 50 mm
dB range: 8dB
t: 51µs – 52µs

Fig. 18

T1 (71 mm)4

Multi focusing points
Coverage: 50 mm 60 mm
dB range: 8dB
t: 50µs – 51µs

Fig. 19
Different modes of focusing have been evaluated and it was found that the most reliable, for pulse echo, was to use multipoint focusing. When using tandem the standard combination of focusing provides adequate scans results. The choice of soft skew and squint is governed by the sensor location on the optimised position.

The next update of application is the calculation of step per step between 2 sensors of these angles.

4 Conclusion

The existing advanced software tools allow and facilitate the understanding of complex examinations.

- Full analysis: geometrical Modelling – ray behaviour with main numerical parameters
- Predesign of sensor package
- Ultrasonic behaviour to verify and validate assumptions: defect response
- Accurate specifications to design the scanning equipment and process : essential parameters
- Efficient and powerful use of advanced tools: 2D PA – Array belt - advanced focusing: multi point depth and direction scanning
- Procedures for data capture and analysis

This method, not only helps in the understanding of the scope and then accurately designing the inspection process, but gives tools to quantify any deviation from the inspection specification by replaying from the captured data with the developed applications.

This study specifies a new toll that can be used in this type of inspection. An array belt that avoids the mechanical movement of the scan probes. The scan is achieved in the single placement of the belt array on the blade and could possibly allow both sides of the blade to be scanned simultaneously. The cartography allows display and print on line for immediate analysis, reporting and possible replay.

Studies to construct the array belt and plugging device are ongoing.
The projected operating time forecast to perform a scan on one disc 44 blades is 2 mandays inclusive of reporting. This manual examination presently requires 6 mandays.

The developed application for blades can also be extended to cover other types of geometry.